PAPILLION CREEK
WATERSHED PARTNERSHIP

MEETING MINUTES

Partnership Meeting
December 4, 2008 – 10:00 AM to 12:00 PM – Board Room
Natural Resource Center, 8901 S 154th Street, Omaha, NE

Attendants:

The following were in attendance: Emmett Egr (PMRNRD), Gerry Bowen (PMRNRD), Marty Leming (Papillion), Joe Soucie (La Vista), Lucas Billesbach (JEO/Bennington), Jarel Vinduska, Mike and Linda Ryan, Shawn Melotz, John Fullenkamp, Bob Doyle, Denny Hogan, Jerry Torczon (BHI Development), Scott Japp, Barbara Byrd (MOBA), John Dickerson (Investors Realty), Amanda Grint (PMRNRD), Lyle Christensen (HDR), Paul Dierking (HDR), John Winkler (PMRNRD), Jim Kee (Omaha), Nina Cudahy (Omaha), Marty Grate (Omaha), Kent Holm (Douglas County), Mark Stursma (Papillion), Marlin Petermann (PMRNRD), Charles Joyce (Aquaterra Environmental Solutions), Dorothy Lanphier (PMRNRD Director), Terry Atkins (Lamp Rynearson), Todd Engle (Kuehl Capital), Douglas Dreessen (TD2), and Mark Westergard (E&A Consulting)

Purpose

A meeting of the Partnership was held on the above date in order to discuss topics stated in the attached agenda. The meeting began at approximately 10:05 AM.

1. Introductions

- An agenda, sign-up sheet, and handouts were distributed. Marlin Petermann (PMRNRD) started the meeting and asked for everyone to introduce themselves.

2. Watershed Management Plan Update (HDR)

Paul Dierking (HDR) updated the group on the development of the Watershed Management Plan, Plan D. The two levels of Low Impact Development (LID) were described and defined. Water Quality LID is intended to improve water quality as well as protect from stream bank erosion. The elements of the Watershed Management Plan that relate to water quality are control of the first half inch of stormwater runoff, no net increase from existing condition for the 2 year storm and water quality basins for existing and proposed reservoir sites. Maximum LID contains Water Quality LID and adds an element of on site detention. The on site detention should meet design rates of 0.2 cfs per acre for a 2 year storm event and 0.5 cfs per acre for a 100 year storm event. The Watershed Management Plan D has evolved over the course of the study from input from elected officials, the public and Partnership members. Paul discussed the details of Plan D which include Maximum LID only in Washington County, 15 regional detention basins, Water Quality LID in all new development and significant redevelopment as well as 12 water quality

basins for existing or proposed reservoir sites. It was noted that the combination of measures proposed in Plan D provide an array of benefits to our watershed such as improved water quality, channel protection, and predictable and manageable flood control options. Lyle Christensen (HDR) discussed the proposed financial framework and example fee structure. Lyle noted that public funds are planned to contribute to regional detention, water quality basins, and the detention component of Maximum LID. Water Quality LID is to be included in the cost of development. The example fee system was presented as a low density residential fee of $750 per lot, a high density residential fee of $3,300 per acre, a commercial/industrial fee of $4,000 per acre and a residential estate fee of $1,400 per acre. There is still a need for capital cost to beput in to the project either by PMRNRD bonding authority, community bonding authority or other source. Lyle discussed the implementation plan for the watershed. The program projects (or projects within the first three years) are potentially WPRB5 in Papillion along with its associated water quality basin, WQ-ZB1 (Water quality basin Zorinsky 1) and W -CL6 (Water quality basin Cunningham 6).

The group discussed the information presented. Kent Holm (Douglas County) noted his concern that this plan might take away the incentive for high density development. He further noted that he does not want to close the door on Maximum LID in Douglas County. Scott Japp discussed the Farm Conservation Program and that a cost share is available for those efforts so why not this effort. Marlin Petermann (PMRNRD) replied that the cost for LID is absorbed in the cost of development and that the fee is for 100 year flood protection. It was discussed that next month the group would like to move forward with a plan to take to public officials. The information presented to public officials will be a Watershed Management Plan and Revised Stormwater Policies.

3. NPDES Permit Compliance

The group then reviewed the revised stormwater policies. Marlin Petermann (PMRNRD) led the discussion by noting the sections that were revised to reflect the implementation of Plan D along with addressing other issues such as floodplain management and financing. Bennington questioned a modification to the floodplain management policy or an interpretation of the 25% fill regulation. Bennington would like to implement a first come first serve policy on fill in the floodplain. Mark Westergard (E&A Consulting) requested that the Partnership look at some revisions to the Water Quality LID definition on Policy Group #1. He asked that a release rate be added to the definition of controlling the first half inch of stormwater runoff and that the group look at the requirement to treat all runoff at each outlet point on the development. Additional wording was requested to be reviewed for Policy Group #3 regarding the creek setback. A question was presented asking if the Watershed Management Plan, Plan D, would be included with the policies and the response was that yes, both items will be asked to be approved together as they reference each other.

4. NPDES Permit Compliance

- *Stormwater Ordinance Amendment Update (All)*

- *NPDES Permit Renewal for Phase II Communities*

  Due to time constraints and the discussion on the watershed plan these items were not addressed by the group. A Partnership subcommittee meeting will be necessary to determine a consensus on a Stormwater Management Plan to be submitted with the Phase II communities NPDES permit renewal. This needs to be submitted in January 2009.

5. Other Items of Interest

It was noted that potential dates for the Public Officials meeting could be February 7th or February 14th.

6. Next Meeting Date

- The next Partnership meeting is scheduled for Thursday, January 14 at 9:00 AM at the NRD office. Please note the time and date change.

7. Adjourn

- The meeting adjourned at approximately 12:00 PM. An agenda, attendance list, and the handouts passed out at the meeting are available upon request.

Please contact Amanda Grint at 444-6222 regarding any questions or comments concerning these meeting minutes.

Z:\agrint\535\PCWP\Meetings\081204-PCWP minutes.docx File: 535 "PCWP"